

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/868,740	06/19/2001	Paolo Cittadini	6502-1515	6536	
7590 05/18/2004			EXAMINER		
Robert F I Conte			A, PHI DIEU TRAN		
Lee Mann Smith McWilliam Sweeney & Ohlson PO Box 2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, IL 60690-2786			3637		
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	المز.	
• 		09/868,740	CITTADINI ET AL.	X	
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Phi D A	3637		
	- The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -		
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be	CION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a stion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communical BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.	
earne	eply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e mailing date of this communication, even if	timely filed, may reduce any		
Status	·				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed or				
<i>'</i>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for a		· ·	is	
	closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-16 is/are pending in t	he application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.			
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-15</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>16</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9)[] <sup>-</sup>	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).	
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc  2. Certified copies of the priority doc  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	uments have been received. uments have been received in A se priority documents have been	Application No		
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for		received.		
/	/				
Attachment	(s)				
$\Delta \prime$	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
0.00.00.00	1 0%				

Art Unit: 3637

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Molnar (4386799).

Molnar shows a main section bar (36, figure 2) of elongation conformation and provided with a longitudinal seat ( the slot between 40s), a continuous support element (38) extending substantially over an entire longitudinal development of the mains section bar (36) and presenting a pre-set number of attachment seats (28s) positioned at a pre-set mutual distance, the continuous support element engaging the main section bar prior to associating the moulding element to the body of the motor vehicle (figure 5), the main section bar and the support element axially fastened to each other (parts 36 and 38 frictionally engaging each other longitudinally to fasten the parts together) prior to associating the moulding element to the body of the motor vehicle.

Molnar shows all the claimed structures. The claimed method steps for the manufacturing of the molding element and for the assembling the same to a motor vehicle body would have been the obvious method steps of manufacturing of the molding element and for the assembling the same to a motor vehicle body of Molnar's structures.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-6, 8-15 are allowed.

#### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art shows vehicle moulding with support.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3637

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 703-306-9136. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phi Dieu Tran A

13/05/045/13/04